arXiv:1605.08997v2 [math.AG] 31 May 2016

Harder-Narasimhan stacks for principal bundles in higher dimensions

Sudarshan Gurjar and Nitin Nitsure

Abstract

Let G be a connected split reductive group over a field k of arbitrary characteristic, chosen suitably. Let $X \to S$ be a smooth projective morphism of locally noetherian k-schemes, with geometrically connected fibers. We show that for each Harder-Narasimhan type τ for principal G-bundles, all pairs consisting of a principal G-bundle on a fiber of $X \to S$ together with a given canonical reduction of HN-type τ form an Artin algebraic stack $Bun^{\tau}_{X/S}(G)$ over S. Moreover, the forgetful 1-morphism $Bun^{\tau}_{X/S}(G) \to Bun_{X/S}(G)$ to the stack of all principal G-bundles on fibers of $X \to S$ is a schematic morphism, which is of finite type, separated and injective on points.

The notion of a relative canonical reduction that we use was defined earlier in arXiv:1505.02236, where we showed that a stronger result holds in characteristic zero, namely, the 1-morphisms $Bun^{\tau}_{X/S}(G) \to Bun_{X/S}(G)$ are locally closed imbeddings which stratify $Bun_{X/S}(G)$ as τ varies.

1 Introduction

Let G be a split reductive group over a field k, such that the following hypothesis $(*)$ is satisfied (we will say more about this hypothesis at the end of the Introduction).

$(*)$ *Preservation of canonical reductions under field extensions*: If $L/K/k$ are extension fields of k, if $H = P/R_u(P)$ where P is a standard parabolic in G and if E a semistable principal H-bundle on a geometrically irreducible smooth projective curve X over K, then the base change E_L is a semistable principal H-bundle on X_L.

Let S be a locally noetherian scheme over k and let $X \to S$ be a smooth projective morphism with geometrically connected fibers. Let there be chosen a split maximal torus in G and a Borel containing it, and let τ be an element of the resulting closed positive Weyl chamber. For any S-scheme T and a principal G-bundle E on X_T, we defined in [G-N-2] the notion of a relative canonical reduction $[L, \phi]$ of E of Harder-Narasimhan type τ (which is recalled later). We define an S-groupoid $Bun^{\tau}_{X/S}(G)$ which attaches to T the groupoid whose objects are pairs consisting of a principal G-bundle E on X_T and a relative canonical reduction of E of HN-type τ. We denote by $Bun_{X/S}(G)$ the algebraic stack of all G-bundles on X/S. The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *The S-groupoid $Bun^{\tau}_{X/S}(G)$ is an algebraic stack over S. The natural forgetful 1-morphism $Bun^{\tau}_{X/S}(G) \to Bun_{X/S}(G)$ is a schematic morphism, which is of finite type, separated and injective on points.*

The above theorem can be equivalently re-formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.2 *Let E be a principal G-bundle on X. There exists a scheme $S^\tau(E)$ over S which has the universal property that for any S-scheme T, the set of all relative canonical reductions of type τ of the pullback $E_T/X_T/T$ is in a natural bijection with the set of all S-morphisms from T to $S^\tau(E)$. Moreover, the morphism $S^\tau(E) \to S$ is of finite type, separated and injective.*

If k is of characteristic zero, it can be shown (see [G-N-2] Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 7.4) that each $S^\tau(E)$ is a locally closed subscheme of S, and as τ varies over the closed positive Weyl chamber $\overline{C}$, these subschemes stratify S. Correspondingly in the Theorem 1.1 above, $Bun^\tau_{X/S}(G) \to Bun_{X/S}(G)$ is a locally closed substack, and these stratify $Bun_{X/S}(G)$ as τ varies (see [G-N-2] Theorem 7.7).

The stronger results in characteristic zero are made possible by the uniqueness and the infinitesimal uniqueness of a canonical reduction in characteristic zero. It is known (see [He]) that the property of infinitesimal uniqueness does not necessarily hold in the finite characteristic case (failure of the Behrend conjecture [Be], which in the context of principal bundles says that the canonical reduction of a principal G-bundle over a curve has no infinitesimal deformations). Instead, one has the (weaker) results of this note.

The importance of the hypothesis $(*)$ is that it allows the definition of a moduli functor for G-bundles of a given HN-type on curves (and also on higher dimensional projective varieties). In fact (see [He]), $(*)$ is a consequence of the Behrend conjecture. It is known that if $G = GL_{n,k}$ or $SL_{n,k}$, then the Behrend conjecture is satisfied for all k, and if $char(k) = 0$, then it is satisfied for all G. Moreover, the conjecture always holds for classical groups, and holds for exceptional simple groups whenever $char(k)$ is large enough (see Theorem 1 in [He]).

Question: More generally, if S is a quasi-finite, flat scheme over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ and if G is obtained by base change from a reductive group scheme $\mathfrak{G}$ defined over S, then one may ask whether there exists a nonempty open subscheme $S' \subset S$ such that the Behrend conjecture (or at least the hypothesis $(*)$) holds whenever $\operatorname{Spec} k$ factors via S'.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a reductive group over a field k of arbitrary characteristic, such that G is split over k, together with a chosen split maximal torus and a Borel containing it. Let K be an extension field over k, and X a smooth irreducible projective variety over K with a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Let E be a principal G-bundle defined on X (or defined on a big open subscheme U of X, where bigness of U signifies that $X - U$ is of codimension ≥ 2). Recall that E is said to be *semistable* w.r.t. the choice of $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ if for any standard parabolic $P \subset G$, any section $\sigma : W \to E/P$ defined

on a big open subscheme W of U, and any dominant character $\chi : P \to \mathbb{G}_{m,K}$, we have

$$\deg(\chi_*\sigma^*E) \leq 0$$

where σ^*E is the principal P-bundle on W defined by the reduction σ, and $\chi_*\sigma^*E$ is the $\mathbb{G}_m$-bundle obtained by extending its structure group via $\chi : P \to \mathbb{G}_m$, which is equivalent to a line bundle on W. This line bundle extends uniquely (up to a unique isomorphism) to a line bundle on X, denoted again by $\chi_*\sigma^*E$, and $\deg(\chi_*\sigma^*F)$ is its degree w.r.t. $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. A *rational reduction* of the structure group to a standard parabolic P is a section $\sigma : U \to E/P$ of $E/P \to X$ over a big open subscheme $U \subset X$. Recall that a *canonical reduction* of E is a rational reduction of structure group of E to a standard parabolic $P \subset G$ for which the following two conditions hold:

(1) If $\rho : P \to L = P/R_u(P)$ is the Levi quotient of P (where $R_u(P)$ is the unipotent radical of P) then the principal L-bundle $\rho_*\sigma^*E$ is a semistable principal L-bundle defined on the big open subscheme U on which σ is defined.

(2) For any non-trivial character $\chi : P \to \mathbb{G}_m$ whose restriction to the chosen maximal torus $T \subset B \subset P$ is a linear combination $\sum n_i\alpha_i$ of simple roots $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ where $n_i \geq 0$, and at least one $n_i \neq 0$, we have $\deg(\chi_*\sigma^*E) > 0$.

To any such reduction, one associates a Harder-Narasimhan type $\tau \in \overline{C}$ (see [G-N-2], section 4 for an exposition).

We recall the following well known fact (originally proved by Behrend [Be] for curves).

Proposition 2.1 *Let G be a reductive group over a field k of arbitrary characteristic, such that G is split over k, together with a chosen a split maximal torus and a Borel containing it. Let K be an extension field over k, and X a smooth irreducible projective variety over K with a very ample line bundle. Let E be a principal G-bundle on X. Then E admits a unique canonical reduction.*

Let $T \subset B \subset G$ be the chosen split torus and Borel. Given a standard parabolic $P \supset B$, let $\lambda_P \in X^*(T)$ be a chosen dominant weight such that λ_P is a character on P which lies in the negative ample cone for G/P. Let V_{λ_P} be a chosen irreducible representation of G with highest weight λ_P, and let $0 \neq v \in V_{\lambda_P}$ be a chosen highest weight vector. Then for the action of G on the projective space $\mathbf{P}(V_{\lambda_P})$ of lines in V_{λ_P}, the isotropy subgroup scheme at the point $[v] \in \mathbf{P}(V_{\lambda_P})$ is P, and we get a closed G-equivariant embedding $G/P \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(V_{\lambda_P})$ under which $eP \mapsto [v]$.

With the above notation, we recall the definition of a relative canonical reduction made in [G-N-2]. Let $X \to S$ be a smooth projective morphism with geometrically connected fibers, where S is a noetherian scheme over k, with a given relatively very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X/S}(1)$ on X. For any principal G-bundle E on X, let $E(V_{\lambda_P})$ denote the associated vector bundle on X corresponding to the representation V_{λ_P}. As defined in [G-N-2], a *relative rational reduction* of structure group of E from G

to P is an equivalence class $[L, f]$ of pairs (L, f), where L is a line bundle on X and $f : L \to E(V_{\lambda_P})$ is an injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear homomorphism of sheaves, such that
(i) the open subscheme $U = \{x \in X \mid \text{rank}(f_x) = 1\} \subset X$ is relatively big over S, that is, for each $s \in S$ the fiber U_s has complementary codimension ≥ 2 in the fiber X_s, and
(ii) the section $U \to \mathbf{P}(E(V_{\lambda_P}))$ defined by f factors via the natural closed embedding $E/P \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(E(V_{\lambda_P}))$.
Two such pairs (L, f) and (L', f') are *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : L \to L'$ such that $f = f' \circ \phi$.

In the special case where $S = \text{Spec}\, K$ for a field K, the above definition is equivalent to the usual definition of a rational reduction to P that we recalled earlier ([G-N-2] Proposition 3.2). Finally, we say that a pair (L, f) as above defines a *canonical reduction* $[L, f]$ *of type* τ if its restriction to each fiber X_s of $X \to S$ is a canonical reduction of E_s of constant type τ (this is well-defined).

The following remark shows that if S has a Zariski open cover (W_i) and we have relative rational P-reductions $[L_i, f_i]$ of $E_{W_i}/X_{W_i}/W_i$ which are represented by pairs (L_i, f_i) which are equivalent over each $W_i \cap W_j$, then there exists a unique relative rational reduction $[L, f]$ of $E/X/S$ which restricts to these.

Remark 2.2 (Sheaf property.) Let Y be a scheme, $\mathcal{E}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules, and (U_i) be an open cover of Y. Let for each i there be given a line bundle L_i on U_i together with an injective $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$-linear homomorphism of sheaves $f_i : L_i \to \mathcal{E}|_{U_i}$. Suppose that for each $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$, there exists an element $g_{ij} \in \mathbb{G}_m(U_{ij})$ (that is, a nowhere vanishing regular function on U_{ij}) such that $f_i = g_{ij} \cdot f_j$ (we do not assume any cocycle condition on the g_{ij}'s). Then there exists a line bundle L on Y and an injective $\mathcal{O}_Y$-linear homomorphism $f : L \to \mathcal{E}$, such that for any i, there exists an isomorphism $h_i : L|_{U_i} \to L_i$ with $f|_{U_i} = f_i \circ h_i$. Moreover, if (L', f') is another such pair, then there exists a unique $\mathcal{O}_Y$-linear isomorphism $\phi : L' \to L$ such that $f' = f \circ \phi$. For, the image subsheaves $\text{im}(f_i) \subset \mathcal{E}|_{U_i}$ coincide over $U_i \cap U_j$, so they glue together to define a global subsheaf $L \subset \mathcal{E}$. Take $f : L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ to be the inclusion. Then the pair (L, f) has the desired property. Given any other such (L', f'), the image of the homomorphism f' is the subsheaf $L \subset \mathcal{E}$, so f' factors through L to give rise to a homomorphism $\phi : L' \to L$ with the desired property.

3 Proofs

If T is a scheme and $\mathcal{E}$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_T$-modules, we will denote by $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{E})^\times \subset \Gamma(T, \mathcal{E})$ the subset which consists of all nowhere vanishing global sections of $\mathcal{E}$. In particular, $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)^\times = \mathbb{G}_m(T)$ is the group of all invertible regular functions on T. Note that the group $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$ acts on the set $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{E})^\times$ by scalar multiplication.

The following lemma is a projective version of the result of Grothendieck on the representability by a linear scheme for sections of direct images (see [EGA III 7.7.8,

7.7.9], and [Ni-2, 5.8] for an exposition). We expect this lemma, though elementary, to be of independent interest.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $X \to S$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module that is flat over S. Consider the contravariant functor $\Psi' : (Schemes/S)^{op} \to Sets$ which associates to any S-scheme T the quotient set*

$$\Psi'(T) = \frac{\Gamma(T, \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T)^\times}{\mathbb{G}_m(T)}$$

where $\Gamma(T, \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T)^\times$ is the set of all nowhere vanishing sections of $\pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T$, on which $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$ acts by scalar multiplication. Then the sheafification Ψ of Ψ' in the big Zariski site over S is representable by the S-scheme

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Q}) = Proj_S\, Sym^\bullet_S(\mathcal{Q}),$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ denotes the Grothendieck Q-sheaf of $\mathcal{F}/X/S$ (locally over S, we can take $\mathcal{Q}$ to be the cokernel of the transpose of the 0th differential of a Grothendieck semi-continuity complex for $\mathcal{F}/X/S$).

Proof. If $\mathcal{E}$ is any coherent sheaf S, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) = Proj_S Sym^\bullet_S(\mathcal{E})$ represents the functor $\varphi : (Schemes/S)^{op} \to Sets$ which is the sheafification in the big Zariski site over S of the functor that associates to any S-scheme T the quotient set

$$\frac{Hom(\mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{O}_T)^{sur}}{\mathbb{G}_m(T)}$$

where $Hom(\mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{O}_T)^{sur} \subset Hom(\mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ consists of all surjective homomorphisms $\mathcal{E}_T \to \mathcal{O}_T$, and $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$ acts on it by scalar multiplication (which is the restriction of the action of $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$ on $Hom(\mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{O}_T)$). The Grothendieck sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ has the universal property (see [EGA III 7.7.8, 7.7.9]) that we have a natural bijection

$$\Gamma(T, \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T) \cong Hom(\mathcal{Q}_T, \mathcal{O}_T).$$

This bijection is equivariant under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$ on both sides by scalar multiplication. As the bijection is functorial in T, by pull-back to any point of T it follows that nowhere vanishing sections of $\pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T$ exactly correspond to surjective homomorphisms $\mathcal{Q}_T \to \mathcal{O}_T$, and hence we get a natural $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$-equivariant bijection

$$\Gamma(T, \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T)^\times \cong Hom(\mathcal{Q}_T, \mathcal{O}_T)^{sur}.$$

The lemma follows on passage to the quotient sets under $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$. □

Remark 3.2 Unlike the functor $T \mapsto \Gamma(T, \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T)$, which is representable in the projective case if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is flat over S (see [Ni-1]), the functor Ψ may or may not be representable when $\mathcal{F}$ is not flat. For example, let $X = S = \operatorname{Spec} k[t]$ for a field k, and let $\mathcal{F}_1 = (k[t]/(t))^\sim$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$, which are coherent sheaves

on $X = S$ which are not flat over S. We leave it to the reader to verify that the corresponding functor Ψ is representable for $\mathcal{F}_1$, and it is not representable for $\mathcal{F}_2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. An exposition of the basic facts about the relative Picard scheme $Pic_{X/S}$ that we need can be found in [K]. We first treat the case where $\pi : X \to S$ admits a global section $\sigma : S \to X$. Under this assumption, there exists a Poincaré line bundle on $X \times_S Pic_{X/S}$, fixed up to (a non-unique) isomorphism by the requirement that its pullback to $Pic_{X/S}$ under the section $(\sigma, \mathrm{id}_{Pic_{X/S}}) : Pic_{X/S} \to X \times_S Pic_{X/S}$ is a trivial line bundle. The choice of $\tau \in \overline{C}$ determines the Hilbert polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of any line bundle L which occurs in a canonical reduction $[L, f : L \to E_s(V_{\lambda_P})]$ of type τ of the principal G-bundle $E_s = E|_{X_s}$ on a fiber X_s. Let $J = Pic^h_{X/S} \subset Pic_{X/S}$ be the open and closed subscheme where the Hilbert polynomial of the line bundle is h. Let $\mathbb{L}$ denote the restriction of the Poincaré line bundle to $X \times_S J \subset X \times_S Pic_{X/S}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the coherent $\mathcal{O}$-module on $X \times_S J$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F} = \underline{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, E_J(V_{\lambda_P}))$$

and let $\mathcal{Q}$ denote the coherent $\mathcal{O}_J$-module which is the Grothendieck Q-sheaf for $\mathcal{F}$. Let $Y = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Q})$ be the corresponding projective scheme over J, which has the universal property given by Lemma 3.1. Over Y, we have a universal element $f \in \Psi(Y)$ in the notation of Lemma 3.1, which can be represented by a Zariski open cover (U_i) of Y together with a family of homomorphism $f_i : \mathbb{L}_{U_i} \to E_{U_i}(V_{\lambda_P})$. Note that on $U_i \cap U_j$, the homomorphisms f_i and f_j differ by scalar multiplication by an element of $\mathbb{G}_m(U_i \cap U_j)$. Let $Y_1 \subset Y$ be the union of the open subschemes of U_i where $f_i : \mathbb{L}_{U_i} \to E_{U_i}(V_{\lambda_P})$ is fiberwise injective in a relatively large open subscheme of X_{U_i}. Let $Y_2 \subset Y$ be the closed subscheme which is the union of the closed subschemes of U_i where the homomorphism $f_i : \mathbb{L}_{U_i} \to E_{U_i}(V_{\lambda_P})$ factors via the cone $\widehat{E/P} \subset E_{U_i}(V_{\lambda_P})$ over $E/P \subset \mathbf{P}(E_{U_i}(V_{\lambda_P}))$. Let $Y_3 = Y_1 \cap Y_2 \subset Y$, which is the locally closed subscheme, where the $f_i : \mathbb{L}_{U_i} \to E_{U_i}(V_{\lambda_P})$ define a rational reduction of structure group to $P \subset G$. Let $Y_4 \subset Y_3$ be the open and closed subscheme of Y_3 where the topological type of the reduction is given by τ. Finally, let $Y_5 \subset Y_4$ be the open subscheme of Y_4 where the extension under the Levi quotient $P \to P/R_u(P)$ is semistable. By Lemma 3.1, is immediate from its construction that the S-scheme Y_5 represents the functor $T \mapsto \Phi^\tau_{E/X/S}(T)$ which is the set of all relative canonical reductions of type τ of the pullback $E_T/X_T/T$.

Now we come to a general case, where X may not necessarily admit a global section over S. As $X \to S$ is by assumption smooth, there exists a surjective separated étale morphism $p : S' \to S$ such that the base change $X' = X_{S'}$ admits a global section $S' \to X'$. Let $E' = E_{S'}$. Hence by the above special case, there exists a scheme $Y' \to S'$ which represents the functor $\Phi^\tau_{E'/X'/S'} : (Schemes/S')^{opp} \to Sets$. Let $S'' = S' \times_S S'$, and let $p_1, p_2 : S'' \rightrightarrows S'$ be the two projections. We write $\pi = p \circ p_1 = p \circ p_2$, and $X'' = \pi^* X$ and $E'' = (\mathrm{id} \times \pi)^* E$, so that we have natural identifications

$$p_1^* X' = X'' = p_2^* X'$$

and

$$(\mathrm{id}\times p_1)^*E' = E'' = (\mathrm{id}\times p_2)^*E'.$$

Note that p_1^*Y' and p_2^*Y' respectively represent the two functors $\Phi^{\tau}_{(\mathrm{id}\times p_1)^*E'/X''/S''}$ and $\Phi^{\tau}_{(\mathrm{id}\times p_2)^*E'/X''/S''}$, and both these functors have a natural isomorphism with the functor $\Phi^{\tau}_{E''/X''/S''}$ which comes from the above identifications $(\mathrm{id}\times p_1)^*E' = E'' = (\mathrm{id}\times p_2)^*E'$. Hence we get an isomorphism of the representing S''-schemes

$$g : p_1^*Y' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*Y'.$$

As the bundle E is defined over the base S, the functor $\Phi^{\tau}_{E/X/S}$ is defined over S-schemes, and the other functors ($\Phi^{\tau}_{(\mathrm{id}\times p_1)^*E'/X''/S''}$ etc.) are obtained from it by base changes. It follows that the above isomorphism g between the representative schemes for these functors satisfies the cocycle condition when pulled back to $S'\times_S S'\times_S S'$. Hence Y' descends to an algebraic space over S. By its construction, Y represents $\Phi^{\tau}_{E/X/S}$. As the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \to & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S' & \to & S \end{array}$$

is cartesian, as $S'\to S$ is an étale cover and as $Y'\to S'$ is of finite type, it follows that $Y\to S$ is of finite type. By the same reasoning, as $Y'\to S'$ is separated by its construction, it follows that $Y\to S$ is separated.

Next, we note that the Proposition 2.1 implies that $Y'\to S'$ is injective at the level of underlying sets. By the above reasoning, this implies that $Y\to S$ too is injective at the level of underlying sets. In particular, $Y\to S$ is quasi-finite.

Given the above properties of $Y\to S$, the Proposition 3.3 below implies that Y is a scheme. This is the desired scheme $S^{\tau}(E)$ by its construction. □

Proposition 3.3 ([Stacks Project] Tag 03XX, Proposition 55.47.2.) *Let S be a scheme. Let $f : X\to T$ be a morphism of algebraic spaces over S. Assume that T is representable, f is locally quasi-finite, and f is separated. Then X is representable.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin by recalling that the stack $Bun_{X/S}(G)$ of G-bundles on fibers of X/S is algebraic. To see this, choose a closed embedding $G\hookrightarrow GL_{n,k}$ as group schemes over k, and consider the induced 1-morphism of stacks $Bun_{X/S}(G)\to Bun_{X/S}(GL_{n,k})$. The stack $Bun_{X/S}(GL_{n,k})$ is just the stack of rank n vector bundles on fibers of X/S, so it is an algebraic stack (see [L-MB]). Given any $GL_{n,k}$-bundle E on X, the reductions of its structure group to G are the sections of $E/G\to X$, so they are parameterized by a suitable open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme $Hilb_{(E/G)/S}$ (see for example [Ni-2] section 5.6.2 for an exposition). This shows the 1-morphism $Bun_{X/S}(G)\to Bun_{X/S}(GL_{n,k})$ is schematic, which implies that the stack $Bun_{X/S}(G)$ is algebraic.

Next, given $\tau\in\overline{C}$, consider the 1-morphism from the stack $Bun^{\tau}_{X/S}(G)$ of Corollary 1.2 to the stack $Bun_{X/S}(G)$. The Theorem 1.2 shows that this 1-morphism is schematic and has the desired properties. □

Acknowledgement S. Gurjar will like to thank the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research for its generous hospitality during the preparation of this article.

References

[Be] Behrend, K. : Semi-stability of reductive group schemes over curves. Math. Ann. 301 (1995), 281-305.

[EGA] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J. : *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES., vols. 4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32 (1960-1967).

[Gu] Gurjar, S. : Restriction theorems for principal bundles in arbitrary characteristic. J. Algebra 426 (2015), 79-91.

[G-N-1] Gurjar, S. and Nitsure, N. : Schematic Harder-Narasimhan stratification for families of principal bundles and Λ-modules. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 124 (2014), 315-332.

[G-N-2] Gurjar, S. and Nitsure, N. : Schematic Harder-Narasimhan stratification for families of principal bundles in higher dimensions. arXiv:1505.02236

[He] Heinloth, J. : Bounds for Behrend's conjecture on the canonical reduction. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN Vol. 2008, rnn045.

[La-MB] Laumon, G. and Moret-Bailly, L. : *Champs algébriques*, Springer (2000).

[K] Kleiman, S. : The Picard scheme. Part 5 of *Fundamental Algebraic Geometry – Grothendieck's FGA Explained*, Fantechi et al, Math. Surveys and Monographs Vol. 123, American Math. Soc. (2005).

[M-R] Mehta, V. B. and Ramanathan, A. : Semistable sheaves on projective varieties and their restriction to curves. Math. Ann. 258 (1981/82), 213-224.

[Ni-1] Nitsure, N. : Representability of Hom implies flatness. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **114** (2004)

[Ni-2] Nitsure, N. : Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes. Part 2 of *Fundamental Algebraic Geometry – Grothendieck's FGA Explained*, Fantechi et al, Math. Surveys and Monographs Vol. 123, American Math. Soc. (2005).

[Ni-3] Nitsure, N. : Schematic Harder-Narasimhan stratification. Internat. J. Math. 22 (2011), 1365-1373.

[Stacks Project] : http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/

Sudarshan Gurjar
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute for Technology, Bombay
Powai
Mumbai 400 076
India
srgurjar1984@gmail.com

Nitin Nitsure
School of Mathematics
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road
Mumbai 400 005
India
nitsure@math.tifr.res.in